1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	x
4	IN RE BANK OF AMERICA CORP. SECURITIES, DERIVATIVE, AND
5	EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT INCOME 09 MD 2058 (PKC) SECURITY ACT (ERISA) LITIGATION 11 CV 7779 (PKC)
6	x
7	February 17, 2011 2:15 p.m.
8	Before:
9	HON. P. KEVIN CASTEL
10	District Judge
11	APPEARANCES
12 13	BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP Attorneys for the Plaintiff Class
14	BY: STEVEN SINGER
15	KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP Attorneys for Lead Plaintiffs in Securities Litigation
16	BY: FREDERIC FOX ROBERT KAPLAN
17	KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK LLP
18	Attorneys for Lead Plaintiffs in Securities Litigation BY: DAVID KESSLER
19	BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Class
20	BY: MAX BERGER
21	CURTIS TRINKO Attorney for Derivative Plaintiffs
22	JEFFREY ALMEIDA
23	REBECCA TINIO Attorneys for Derivative Plaintiffs
24	Accorneys for Derivactive Plaincills
25	

1	
2	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
3	STEVEN FINEMAN
4	Attorney for NYSTRS Plaintiffs
5	JOSH PORTER Attorney for NYSTRF Plaintiffs
6 7	SAXENA WHITE Attorneys for Derivative Plaintiffs CHRISTOPHER JONES
8	JEANNE CHRISTIANSEN Attorney for Plaintiff Munoz
9	CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP Attorney for Defendants BY: MITCHELL LOWENTHAL
11 12	JENNIFER KENNEDY PARK LEWIS LIMAN SHAWN CHEN
13 14	WACHTELL LIPTON ROSEN & KATZ Attorneys for Defendants BY: ERIC ROTH
15 16	DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP Attorneys for Derivative Defendants BY: LARRY PORTNOY
17	DECHERT LLP
18	Attorneys for Defendant John Thain BY: DAVID HOFFNER
19	O'MELVENY & MYERS
20	Attorneys for Defendant John Thain ANDREW FRACKMAN
21	SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP
22	Attorneys for Defendants Merrill Lynch & Co. Inc., et al. BY: TERENCE GILROY
23	
24	
25	

(Case called) 1 2 (In open court) 3 THE DEPUTY CLERK: In re: Bank of America Corp. 4 the plaintiff ready? 5 MR. SINGER: Steven Singer of Bernstein Litowitz 6 Berger & Grossmann LLP, co-lead counsel for lead plaintiff in 7 this securities class action. THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Singer. 8 9 MR. FOX: Good afternoon, your Honor. Frederick Fox, 10 Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer, LLP, for the lead plaintiffs in the 11 securities litigation. 12 MR. KESSLER: Good afternoon, your Honor. David 13 Kessler from Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check on behalf of the 14 lead plaintiffs. 15 MR. KAPLAN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Robert 16 Kaplan, Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer for the lead plaintiffs in the 17 securities actions. 18 MR. BERGER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Max Berger, Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann. I'm with Mr. Singer. 19 20 THE COURT: All right, good afternoon. 21 MR. JONES: Good afternoon, your Honor. Christopher 22 Jones, Saxena White, for the derivative plaintiffs. 23 THE COURT: All right. Let me just pause for a 24 second. Your name again? 25 MR. JONES: Christopher Jones.

1	THE COURT: I have it. Okay thank you.
2	MR. TRINKO: Curtis Trinko for the derivative
3	plaintiffs, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: All right.
5	MR. LOWENTHAL: Mitchell Lowenthal
6	THE COURT: Let's just see. For the individual
7	plaintiffs we have, Mr. Almeida, is it?
8	MR. ALMEIDA: That's me, your Honor. Yes.
9	THE COURT: Who do you represent?
10	MR. ALMEIDA: I represent ABPIFM. We're part of the
11	ABP complaint and I'm liaison counsel also for the other
12	individual action.
13	THE COURT: All right, and Mr. Fineman on behalf of
14	Schwab?
15	MR. FINEMAN: Yes, your Honor. I'm co-counsel for the
16	NYSTRS plaintiffs.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Porter, who do you represent?
18	MR. PORTER: NYSTRF, Thomas Napoli, New York State
19	Teachers Retirement System and the Public Employees Retirement
20	System Colorado.
21	THE COURT: Rebecca Tinio?
22	MS. TINIO: Yes, your Honor. With Mr. Almeida
23	co-counsel for the plaintiffs in the individual action.
24	THE COURT: Jeanne Christensen?
25	MS. CHRISTIANSEN: Yes, your Honor. We represent Joel

1 Munoz. THE COURT: All right. Have I covered the plaintiffs 2 3 in this action? Any other plaintiff registering an appearance? All right. Mr. Lowenthal, we'll begin with you for the 4 5 defendants. 6 MR. LOWENTHAL: You anticipated my answer. I'm here 7 with my partner, Lewis Liman. THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. 8 9 MR. ROTH: Good afternoon, your Honor. Eric Roth, 10 Wachtel Lipton Rosenthal for the bank defendants. 11 MR. PORTNOY: Good afternoon, your Honor. 12 Portnoy, Davis Polk & Wardwell for the defendants in the 13 derivative action. 14 MR. GILROY: Terry Gilroy from Shearman & Sterling for 15 the Merrill defendants. MR. HOFFNER: Good afternoon, your Honor. David 16 17 Hoffner, Dechert, LLP for defendant John Thain. 18 THE COURT: And you have Mr. Frackman with you? MR. FRACKMAN: Yes, your Honor. Andrew Frackman 19 20 O'Melveny and Meyers for Mr. Thain in the Schwab action. 21 THE COURT: How about Shawn Chen? 22 MR. CHEN: Shawn Chen on the telephone, Cleary 23 Gottleib, also on behalf of Bank of America.

notice in the consolidated securities class action. Any

THE COURT: Excellent. Let me start with the class

24

25

objection from the defendants?

MR. LOWENTHAL: No, your Honor. We communicated with the plaintiffs in advance and we have no objection to the form of those notices.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Any other objection by any or any objection by any defendant? Hearing none, I will approve it.

There's going to be one addition I'm going to ask you to make to the class notice which will be apparent in a moment. It is my plan to set a trial date in this case and have that in the class notice and I've looked at my calendar, we have a final pretrial conference in this matter set for I believe October 12, and I plan to begin jury selection in this case on October 22, 2012 at 10:00 a.m., and that should be reflected in the class notice.

What else can we discuss with regard to the consolidated class action? One thing I would like to do is we already have a schedule on summary judgment motions and pretrial submissions, so that's taken care of. But on September 21, the date for pretrial submissions, I want the parties to make a proposal tailored for this case on the number of hours per side, and I would like support for the proposition and even if it's jointly agreed on, if the plaintiffs and the defendants get together and come up with the concept like we each agree on a hundred hours, it's not likely that I'm going

to approve that. So it's got to be justified and it may or may not be the same number for each side. There's something attractive about it being the same number for each side, we'll see, and obviously once set I reserve the right to reconfigure in the interests of justice. If for whatever reason the number that is set turns out not to be adequate under the circumstances, why, I can always make adjustments. But on the going in we'll know how many hours per side on the trial of the case.

What else on the consolidated class action? Any other issue that ought to be addressed today?

MR. LOWENTHAL: I don't think it's an issue. It's something that we've agreed. It relates to the class notice and I'll let Mr. Kessler disagree, if he does. I've spoken with him. We've agreed that in the event that there are opt-outs that are sent to the administrator both sides will get notice of the opt-outs. Obviously it doesn't belong in the form of notice, but any order the Court might enter in connection with approving it.

THE COURT: That sounds reasonable. I was not planning on entering a written order on it. I don't think it's necessary. Actually, what I will do right now is I will write on the face of it, "Approved, see transcript of this proceeding."

MR. KESSLER: Your Honor, we were planning on

submitting a separate order in approximately a week which will identify the notice administrator.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. KESSLER: And set forth additional materials that your Honor might want to look at prior to entering the order.

THE COURT: That's fine. That's absolutely fine.

MR. KESSLER: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, with regard to Baumeier, is there any appearance by counsel for plaintiff in the Baumeier action?

All right. I have that down as the only open motions in any of the cases and if there's any disagreement on that, this is the time to say so.

With regard to Schwab, we already have a scheduling order in place with the procedures outlined regarding expert discovery and proceedings following the close of discovery shall not apply to the individual actions or the Baumeier action. The parties to the individual action shall meet and confer to discuss a proposed case management order regarding expert discovery, any unique facts and the proceedings following the close of discovery in those cases.

What do you need to do in the Schwab action -- I'll hear from the plaintiff -- that is not covered by the schedule in the consolidated class action? And, again, your name for the record.

MR. FINEMAN: Steve Fineman. Good afternoon, your

Honor. We're working through liaison counsel at Grant & Eisenhoffer. Just started a conversation this week with the defendants about what if anything we and the other individual cases would need and we're still working through those issues and my understanding is there's another conference scheduled for this coming week.

THE COURT: Between and among --

MR. FINEMAN: Among the individual lawyers and the defendants' counsel.

THE COURT: All right. I am going to direct that so much of a joint proposal as exists with the differences, if any, between and among the sides, be submitted to the Court no later than March 2nd. And that will give you time to work on it all. Come in with a joint proposal. If it's joint only in part you'll tell me what the respective positions of the sides are and I'll resolve that.

MR. FINEMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: What else? This is all too easy. I will ask for a report from lead counsel as to the status of any settlement discussions and I can do that at the sidebar at the close of this proceeding, and obviously I am not interested in positions that folks have taken, just the status of the discussions.

Anything else anybody wants to raise before I close the conference?

MR. LOWENTHAL: The only thing that I would, it's not something for the Court to address, I've just been asked to say that to make clear some of my colleagues at Wachtel Lipton have been deposed in this case and just so it's clear to everybody, they will not be trial counsel in this case. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that's on the record. We are adjourned. Thank you. COUNSEL: Thank you, your Honor. (Adjourned)